



 4119 White Bear Po Phone: (651) 429- Toll Free: (800) 4-C cortecvci.com • cort	arkway, St. Paul, MN 55110 USA 1100, Fax: (651) 429-1122 :ORTEC, E-mail: info@cortecvci.com teclaboratories.com			
	Evaluation of P.C.F versus CorrVerter			
 То:	Customer			
 For:	Cortec Corporation 4119 White Bear Parkway St. Paul, Mn. 55110			
 From:	Cortec Corporation Laboratories 4119 White Bear Parkway St. Paul, MN 55110			
 cc:	cc: Boris Miksic Rita Kharshan Dario Dell'Orto			
 Project	#:12-172-1525 RM & Kannon			
 Test co	nducted by: Rick Shannon			
	Coatings Chemist			



Approved by:

Margarita Kharshan Laboratory Director

September 12, 2012 Date:

Background:

Evaluate Cortec's rust conversion coating, (CorrVerter), versus P.C.F., distributed in Brasil

Method:

Salt Fog Test: ASTM – B117 Visual evaluation of films

Materials:

CorrVerter sample P.C.F. sample Cold rolled steel panels Scribe tool Salt fog chamber Film thickness gage Draw down bars

Procedure:

Coatings were prepared over CRS; using a #100 draw down bar to optimize film thickness consistency between coatings. Panels were then aged for one week, prior to being scribed and put into the salt fog chamber

Salt Fog Test results: ASTM B-117

Products	CRS	Creep	Blisters	Field Failure
CorrVerter	120 hrs	<1/32 in	Few fine #8	<1%
P.C.F.	120 hrs	<1/32 in	90% #6 / #8	>90%

Results relate only to the items tested

Photo:





Interpretations:

1. Based on the results from the Salt Fog Test; CorrVerter outperformed the P.C.F. product, conclusively.

2. P.C.F. is based on sulfuric acid, which is hazardous for people and environment. CorrVerter formulation is organic-based.