



4119 White Bear Parkway, St. Paul, MN 55110 USA Phone: (651) 429-1100, Fax: (651) 429-1122 Toll Free: (800) 4-CORTEC, E-mail: info@cortecvci.com • cortecvci.com • corteclaboratories.com . • . • . Comparing Armor film to VpCI-126 . • • • To: Customer . • • • ٠ From: Cortec Corporation Laboratories • 4119 White Bear Parkway • • St. Paul, MN 55110 . • • **Boris Miksic** cc: . • Dario Dell'Orto • • . • • **Project** #:13-265-1125.bis • • • Results reported by: dig Austin • • Senior Lab Technician 0 0 . . 0 M. Rharshan 0 . **Approved by:** Margarita Kharshan VP of R&D Date: December 6, 2013



Background: It was requested that the corrosion protection and mechanical properties of the Armor film be compared to VpCI-126. The Armor bags are used for shipping to Germany.

Sample Received:

1) Armor film, received 12-02-13, used condition

Method:

- 1) VIA Test Method, CC-027
- 2) Razor Blade Test Method, CC-004*
- 3) Paragon 1000 FTIR, Method CC-006
- 4) Mechanical Properties*(Performed at Cortec Advanced Film Division)
 - a. Breaking Factor, ASTM D 882-02*
 - b. Tensile Strength at Break, ASTM D882-02*
 - c. Elongation at Break, ASTM D882-02*
 - d. Yield Strength, ASTM D882-02*
 - e. Dart Drop Impact Resistance, ASTM D 1709-04 Test Method A*
 - f. Puncture Resistance, MIL-STD-3010 TM 2065*
 - g. Tear Strength, ASTM D 1922-06A*
 - h. Coefficient of Friction, ASTM D1894*
 - i. Seal Strength, ASTM F88-99*

*Cortec Laboratory is not accredited for the test marked

Materials:

- 1) VIA Test Kit
- 2) Razor Blade test kit
- 3) Methanol, lab grade
- 4) Deionized Water
- 5) Paragon 1000 FTIR
- 6) Plain polyethylene, control film
- 7) VpCI-126, Lot 33659

Procedure:

- 1) The tests were performed according to standard procedures. The test results for VpCI-126 were taken from QC's random testing results for lot 33659.
- 2) The VIA test was graded according to the following system:

VIA T	est Plug Grading Scheme	$ \begin{array}{c} \hline \hline$
Picture A.2		
Grade 0:	Blind test	
Grade 1.	No corrosion inhibiting effect Blind test	Grade 1
01000 11	Minute corrosion inhibiting effect	
Grade 2:	Blind test Medium corrosion inhibiting effect	
Grade 3:	Blind test	Grade 2
	Good corrosion inhibiting effect	
		Grade 3

Results:

Razor Blade Test – Carbon Steel

Sample	Panel 1	Panel 2	Panel 3
Armor Film	Fail	Fail	Fail
VpCI-126	Pass	Pass	Pass
Control	Fail	-	-

Razor Blade Test – Copper

Sample	Panel 1	Panel 2	Panel 3
Armor Film	Fail	Fail	Pass
VpCI-126	Pass	Pass	Pass
Control	Fail	-	-

VIA Test

Sample	Plug # 1	Plug # 2	Plug # 3	Pass / Fail
Armor Film	Grade 0	Grade 0	Grade 0	Fail
VpCI-126	Grade 3	Grade 3	Grade 3	Pass
Control	Grade 0	N/A	N/A	N/A

Note: Grades 0 and 1 are considered failing. See below for grading scale example.

Mechanical Properties Test Results

Property		Test Method	Units	Armor	VpCI-126
Brooking Footor	MD	ASTM D882-02	lbs/in	7.26	18.35
Breaking Factor	TD			7.21	17.03
Tonsile Strength at Break	MD	ASTM D882-02	psi	1831.56	4286.88
Tensile Strength at bleak	TD			1868.19	4019.20
Flangation at Brook	MD	- ASTM D882-02	%	535.67	643.85
Elongation at break	TD			720.26	723.59
Viold Streegth	MD	ASTM D882-02	psi	1354.49	1462.39
	TD			1061.91	1655.80
Dart Drop Impact Resistance		ASTM D1709-04, Test Method A	grams	63.10	736.67
Puncture Resistance		MIL-STD-3010, TM 2065	lbf	4.19	7.93
Toor Strongth	MD	- ASTM D1922-06A	gram force	115.20	512.00
Tear Strength	TD			441.60	1619.20
Coofficient of Friction		ASTM D1894	static	0.42	0.45
			kinetic	0.42	0.46
Sool Strongth	left	- ASTM F88-99	lbs/in	5.63	N/A
	center			6.06	N/A

Results relate only to the items tested

Photos:



Figure 1. Photo of the Armor film, which has particles in it.

Interpretations:

- 1) Based on the corrosion test results, the Armor film does not provide vapor or contact-phase corrosion protection.
- 2) The results for the VpCI-126 film determined it provides good vapor and contactphase corrosion inhibition.
- 3) The mechanical property results determined that VpCI-126 has higher breaking factor, tensile strength at break, elongation at break (machine direction), yield strength, drop dart impact resistance, puncture resistance and tear strength than the Armor film.
- 4) The Armor film, which can be seen in the photo in figure 1, has poor quality; it is very grainy with possibly unblended pigment.
- 5) The FTIR spectra compares the Armor film to a plain 3 mil polyethylene film, and the films appear to be similar to each other. The results indicate there is little, if any corrosion inhibitor in the Armor film.



Project #:13-265-1125.bis Page 6 of 6 December 6, 2013 © 2013, Cortec Corporation. All Rights Reserved. Copying of these materials in any form without the written authorization of Cortec Corporation Laboratory is strictly prohibited.